UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,628	03/01/2004	Donald A. Stevens	4417-040172	1336
7590 07/02/2007 Richard L. Byrne WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 7th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			EXAMINER	
			LAUX, JESSICA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
N.		•	07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/790,628	STEVENS, DONALD A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jessica Laux	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	May 2007.				
,	, —				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-33 is/are withdress.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) obj he drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplica	ents have been received ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/17/2004.</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 05/11/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 12-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim

However, applicant failed to elect a species as presented in the Office Action dated 04/11/2007. Therefore the examiner will examine the embodiment of Species I. It will be interpreted and understood that Species I is the elected embodiment for examination purposes.

# Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 – it is unclear how the maximum height can occur at the maximum divergence with the ribs diverge and then converge. The drawings show the maximum height at the point of most convergence.

Claim 4 – the claim recites a plurality of segments with slats, it is unclear if these are the same or different slats than the slats of claim 1 as the specification and drawings only indicate one set of slats.

Claims 10-11 – recited the limitation "the place of maximum divergence" which lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3635

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by H.E. White (1014617).

Regarding claim 1: White discloses a lath for use with a frame member for a structural panel comprising:

a generally planar sheet having a front side and a back side (figure 8),

a plurality of ribs (3) formed within the sheet; wherein the ribs protrude from the back side of the sheet, wherein each rib has a profile with a first side and a second side which diverge from one another as they extend away from the back side and then converge, and wherein the maximum height of a rib occurs at the place of maximum divergence, and

a plurality of slats (10) extending through the sheet for adapting the sheet to receive and retain thereupon a structural coating.

Regarding claim 2: wherein the sheet is constructed from a thin pliable material such that the ribs are resilient and the ribs may be compressed to reduce the maximum height (Col. 1, line 30).

Regarding claim 3: wherein the sheet is made from a material selected from the group consisting of metal, plastic and carbon fiber composites (Col. 1, line 30).

Regarding claim 4: wherein the sheet has a plurality of segments with slats therein wherein the slats within adjacent segments are oriented differently to securely engage any structural coating that may be applied to the sheet (figures 4 and 8).

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 5: wherein the slats within a segment are parallel to one another (figure 4).

Regarding claim 6: wherein the sheet has a longitudinal axis, each slat is along a line to define a slat vertical angle with the longitudinal axis, and wherein the slat vertical angle formed by the slats in one segment are equal and opposite to the slat vertical angle formed by the slats in an adjacent segment (figure 4).

Regarding claim 7: wherein, when viewed in section, each segment of slats forms a slat planar angle with the longitudinal axis and wherein the planar slat angle of the slats in one segment is equal to and opposite the slat planar angle formed by the slats in an adjacent segment (figure 6).

Regarding claim 8: wherein a plurality of divots (11, figure 7, Col. 2, lines 67-69) are formed within the front side of the sheet to provide protrusions from the back side of the sheet, such that the sheet may be spaced from any flat surface upon which it may be applied.

Regarding claim 9: wherein each rib has a plurality of holes (4) extending therethrough to provide pressure relief to any structural coating that may be applied to the sheet.

Regarding claim 10: White discloses a lath for use with a frame member for a structural panel comprising:

a generally planar sheet having a front side and a back side (figure 8),

a plurality of ribs (3) formed within the sheet; wherein the ribs protrude from the back side of the sheet, wherein each rib has a profile adapted to snap into an opening

Art Unit: 3635

of the frame member, and wherein the maximum height of a rib occurs at a place of maximum divergence, and

a plurality of slats (10) extending through the sheet for adapting the sheet to receive and retain thereupon a structural coating.

Regarding claim 11: White discloses a lath for use with a frame member for a structural panel comprising:

a generally planar sheet having a front side and a back side (figure 8),

a plurality of ribs (3) formed within the sheet; wherein the ribs protrude from the back side of the sheet, wherein each rib has a profile in the shape of a barb adapted to snap into an opening of the frame member, and wherein the maximum height of a rib occurs at a place of maximum divergence, and

a plurality of slats (10) extending through the sheet for adapting the sheet to receive and retain thereupon a structural coating.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 6:30am to 2:30pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K

JL 06/13/2007 /J. CHAPMAN/ PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3635